

Resistor networks in R: the ResistorArray package

Robin K. S. Hankin

Abstract

This paper introduces the **ResistorArray** package of R routines, for analysis of resistor networks. An earlier version of this vignette was published as [Hankin \(2006\)](#).

Keywords: Resistors, Resistor Arrays.

Many elementary physics courses show how resistors combine in series and parallel (Figure 1); the equations are

$$R_{\text{series}} = R_1 + R_2 \quad (1)$$

$$R_{\text{parallel}} = \frac{1}{R_1^{-1} + R_2^{-1}} \quad (2)$$

However, these rules break down for many systems such as the Wheatstone bridge (Figure 2); the reader who doubts this should attempt to apply equations 1 and 2 and find the resistance between nodes 1 and 4 in the general case. This paper introduces **ResistorArray**, an R ([R Core Team 2012](#)) package to determine resistances and other electrical properties of such networks.




Figure 1: Two resistors in series (top) and parallel (bottom)

Although this paper uses the language of electrical engineering, the problem considered is clearly very general: many systems are composed of isolated nodes between which some quantity flows and the steady states of such systems are generally of interest. Package **ResistorArray** has been applied to such diverse problems as the diffusion of nutrients among fungal hyphae networks, the propagation of salinity between (moored) oceanographical buoys, and




Figure 2: The Wheatstone bridge

hydraulic systems such as networks of sewage pumps. The general problem of determining the resistance between two nodes of a resistor network requires matrix techniques. Consider a network of n nodes, with node i connected to node j by a resistor of resistance R_{ij} . Then the network has a “conductance matrix” \mathcal{L} with

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1/R_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \sum_{k \neq j} 1/R_{kj} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Thus \mathcal{L} is a symmetrical matrix, whose row sums and column sums are zero (and is therefore singular). Then the analogue of Ohm’s law (viz $V = IR$) would be

$$\mathcal{L}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{i} \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ is a vector of potentials and $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a vector of currents; here i_p is the current flow in to node p . Equation 4 is thus a restatement of the fact that charge does not accumulate at any node. Each node of the circuit may either be fed a known current¹ and we have to calculate its potential; or it is maintained at a known potential and we have to calculate the current flux into that node to maintain that potential. There are thus n unknowns altogether. Thus some elements of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{i} are known and some are unknown. Without loss of generality, we may partition these vectors into known and unknown parts: $\mathbf{v}' = (\mathbf{v}^{k'}, \mathbf{v}^{u'})$ and $\mathbf{i}' = (\mathbf{i}^{u'}, \mathbf{i}^{k'})$. Thus the known elements of \mathbf{v} are $\mathbf{v}^k = (v_1, \dots, v_p)'$: these would correspond to nodes that are maintained at a specified potential; the other elements $\mathbf{v}^u = (v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n)'$ correspond to nodes that are at an unknown potential that we have to calculate. The current vector \mathbf{i} may similarly be decomposed, but in a conjugate fashion; thus elements $\mathbf{i}^u = (i_1, \dots, i_p)'$ correspond to nodes that require a certain, unknown, current to be fed into them to maintain potentials \mathbf{v}^k ; the other elements $\mathbf{i}^k = (i_{p+1}, \dots, i_n)'$ would correspond to nodes that have a known current flowing into them and whose potential we seek. Equation 4 may thus be expressed in terms of a suitably partitioned matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}' & \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}^k \\ \mathbf{v}^u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i}^u \\ \mathbf{i}^k \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

¹This would include a zero current: in the case of the Wheatstone bridge of Figure 2, nodes 2 and 3 have zero current flux so i_2 and i_3 are known and set to zero.

where, in R idiom, $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L}[1:p, 1:p]$, $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{L}[1:p, (p+1):n]$, and $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{L}[(p+1):n, (p+1):n]$. Straightforward matrix algebra gives

$$\mathbf{v}^u = \mathbf{C}^{-1} (\mathbf{i}^k - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{v}^k) \quad (6)$$

$$\mathbf{i}^u = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}')\mathbf{v}^k + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{i}^k \quad (7)$$

Equations 6 and 7 are implemented by `circuit()`.

1. Package ResistorArray in use

Consider the Wheatstone Bridge, illustrated in Figure 2. Here the resistance between node 1 and node 4 is calculated; all resistances are $1\ \Omega$ except R_{34} , which is $2\ \Omega$. This resistor array may be viewed as a skeleton tetrahedron, with edge 1 missing. We may thus use function `tetrahedron()` to generate the conductance matrix; this is

```
> L <- tetrahedron(c(1, 1, Inf, 1, 1, 2))
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,]    2  -1 -1.0  0.0
[2,]   -1    3 -1.0 -1.0
[3,]   -1  -1  2.5 -0.5
[4,]    0  -1 -0.5  1.5
```

Observe that $\mathbf{L}[1,4]=\mathbf{L}[4,1]=0$, as required. The resistance may be determined by function `circuit()`; there are several equivalent methods. Here node 1 is earthed—by setting it to zero volts—and the others are given a floating potential; viz $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{c}(0, \text{NA}, \text{NA}, \text{NA})$. Then one amp is fed in to node 4, zero amps to nodes 2 and 3, and node 1 an unknown current, to be determined; viz $\mathbf{currents}=\mathbf{c}(\text{NA}, 0, 0, 1)$. The node 1-to-node 4 resistance will then be given by the potential at node 4:

```
> circuit(L, v=c(0, NA, NA, NA), currents=c(NA, 0, 0, 1))
```

```
$potentials
```

```
[1] 0.0000000 0.5454545 0.4545455 1.1818182
```

```
$currents
```

```
[1] -1 0 0 1
```

Thus the resistance is about $1.181818\ \Omega$, comparing well with the theoretical value of $117/99\ \Omega$. Note that the system correctly returns the net current required to maintain node 1 at $0\ \text{V}$ as $-1\ \text{A}$ (which is clear from conservation of charge). The potential difference between nodes 2 and 3 indicates a nonzero current flow along R_{23} ; currents through each resistor are returned by function `circuit()` if argument `give.internal` is set to `TRUE`. Package **ResistorArray** solves a problem that is frequently encountered in electrical engineering: determining the electrical properties of resistor networks. The techniques presented here are applicable to

many systems composed of isolated nodes between which some quantity flows; the steady states of such systems generally have an electrical analogue. This paper explicitly presents a vectorized solution method that uses standard numerical techniques, and discusses the corresponding R idiom.

References

- Hankin RKS (2006). “Resistor networks in R: Introducing the **ResistorArray** package.” *R News*, **6**(2), 52–54.
- R Core Team (2012). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org/>.

Affiliation:

Robin K. S. Hankin
Auckland University of Technology
2-14 Wakefield Street
Auckland
New Zealand
E-mail: hankin.rob@gmail.com